

EXHIBIT 1

DECLARATION OF JANICE ANDERSON

I, JANICE ANDERSON, hereby declare under penalty of perjury:

The man known in the news media as Lafayette Jones who is a fugitive from a rape charge is not the son of Stanley Tookie Williams. I am Jones' Mother.

Executed at Foltara, this 18 day of November 2005.

Janice L. Anderson

LAFAYETTE JONES
3-12-69

EXHIBIT 2

DECLARATION OF RAYMOND STEVENS

I, Raymond Stevens, hereby declare under penalty of perjury:

1. I am a licensed private investigator in the State of California (PI # 13765) and was asked by attorney Verna Wefald (State Bar No. 127104) to look for the mother of Lafayette Jones. In the past couple days there have been numerous media reports that Lafayette Jones was the son of Mrs. Wefald's client, Stanley Tookie Williams, who is on death row and scheduled to be executed December 13, 2005. News reports stated that Lafayette Jones was 36 years old and was a fugitive from justice after being accused of raping a 13 year old girl. Mrs. Wefald informed me that her client did not have a son named Lafayette Jones.

2. Tonight I met with Janice L. Anderson and her husband Dan Anderson at the Carroll's Restaurant on Foothill in Fontana, near Rancho Cucamonga, California. Mrs. Anderson told me that she is the mother of Lafayette Jones who is wanted for child rape. She told me that she was born in 1953 and that when she was 15 years old she had a brief affair with a man named Stanley Williams, who was seven (7) years older than her. This affair took place in Santa Monica, California. This man was not the Stanley Tookie Williams on death row.

3. Mrs. Anderson also told me that when she first heard news reports that her son was alleged to be the son of death row inmate Stanley Tookie Williams she immediately called the Fontana police station. She said she may have spoken with an officer named Sgt.

Megenny, but does not know for sure the name of the officer she spoke with. Mrs. Anderson said that she told the police that Stanley Tookie Williams was not the father of Lafayette Jones. She further stated that she was told by the Fontana police officer that it did not matter, because if the public believed that Lafayette Jones was the son of Stanley Tookie Williams, he would be turned in much faster.

4. Both Mrs. Anderson and her husband told me they were extremely concerned about these false reports not only because of the damage it is doing to Stanley Tookie Williams but also to their son Lafayette Jones. They are also worried about how this false publicity will affect their respective jobs.

5. Mrs. Anderson said that she has two children, Lafayette Jones and a daughter. The daughter, who she declined to identify, was a nurse. She also said that Lafayette has had numerous problems with the law. Mrs. Anderson said she believed that her son may have told his girlfriend, Pam Anderson (no relation) that he was the son of Stanley Tookie Williams. The alleged rape victim is Pam Anderson's daughter.

6. Mrs. Anderson and her husband were both very upset that the police and the news media were continuing to report falsely that Lafayette Jones was the son of Stanley Tookie Williams even after they had both been informed by her and other relatives that this

was not true. In my presence, Mrs. Anderson signed a declaration attesting to the fact she is the mother of fugitive Lafayette Jones and that he is not the son of Stanley Tookie Williams.

Executed this 18th day of November 2005, at Pasadena, California.



RAYMOND STEVENS, Declarant

EXHIBIT 3

NAME: WILLIAMS
HOUSING: 4-EB 62
ASSIGNMENT: GRADE-A

NUMBER: C-29300
CLASSIFICATION SCORE: 59
YARD: GRADE-A REINTEGRATED MIXED (R/M) I

CDC 128G (Rev. 2/69)
WG/PG: D2/T
CUSTODY: MAX

ICC SUMMARY: 120 DAY GRADE-A PROGRAM REVIEW, CONTINUE PRESENT PROGRAM

ICC Comments: Inmate Williams appeared before Unit-I, ICC for a 120 day program review. CCI, C. Grant, presented the case to ICC. ICC members were introduced and he was advised of the reasons for his appearance at ICC. He was afforded a CDC 128-B1, 72 hour due process notice of classification.



Dr. Saunders, Clinical Psychologist, determined that there were no pending mental health issues and that he was ready to proceed with ICC. Williams is not a participant in the MHSDS program and indicates he understands today's classification process. ICC inquired if he had any mobility, hearing or vision impairments. Williams indicated he had no medical impairments that would prevent him from participating in today's ICC.

Williams was received in CDC on 4-20-81 from Los Angeles County. He was informed that it is anticipated that a new condemned facility will be built and functional in the year 2007. ICC is reviewing all condemned Williams in preparation for the implementation of programs within the new condemned unit. During ICC, condemned inmates are being informed of general program guidelines, and a general assessment is made to determine the level at which an inmate may be able to program. The condemned program will continue to be patterned within the guidelines.

The CDC-812 and confidential file are noted. CDC-812C is clear. Williams is Clear to Visit with Minors per DD97/03. ICC reviewed the disciplinary history and notes the most recent RVR dated 7-6-93 for the specific act of Battery on an Inmate. Additional RVR's include: Force & Violence, 6-30-81, 1-29-82, 1-28-82, 1-28-82; Fighting, 2-16-84; Conduct, 6-8-84; Sexual Behavior, 1-2-85; Physical Altercation, 7-4-86 and 12-24-91; Battery on an Inmate, 7-6-93. Williams indicates he attends exercise yard on a regular basis and gets along with all inmates. ICC notes prior gang affiliation with the Crip Disruptive Group. Lt. G. Fuller stated that during his assignment in East Block he has not observed Williams in any gang involvement. ICC commended Williams on his positive program over the last ten years. Williams thanked ICC for their respectful treatment.

ICC Action: Following a review of the C-file, case factors, disciplinary history, discussion with the inmate, and input from ICC members, ICC elects to continue Williams in his present program. He was explained the expectations while in the Grade-A program and he indicated he will continue to program within the Grade-A guidelines. He was advised of ICC's decision and of his right to appeal any part of ICC's action. ICC was held in compliance with CCR, Title 15, Section 3375. Next classification will be scheduled within 120 days.

ICC MEMBERS: J. NUNEZ, FACILITY CAPTAIN
G. FULLER, LIEUTENANT
DR. SAUNDERS, CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGIST


S. PETRAKIS, CDW (A)
CHAIRPERSON

R. BRAU, CCH
RECORDER/jac

Date: 8-5-04

Classification: ICC

Inst: SQ

RECEIVED
NOV 12 2004
BY: _____